

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,002	10/15/2001	Tzuoh-Fei Mao	BHT-3111-206	9591
75	90 12/31/2002			
DOUGHERTY & TROXELL			EXAMINER	
	/976,002 10/15/2001 7590 12/31/2002 OUGHERTY & TROXELL		STEFANON, JUSTIN	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22041		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/976,002	MAO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Justin Stefanon	3682			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vero reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON and the specification to become the specification to be specification to become the specification to be specification to become the specification to be s	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 (	October 2001 .				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)🖾 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•				
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 2			

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is in excess of 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "an unique tooth contour structure" in line 6. The tooth contour structure has previously been claimed. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the lower portion" in line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The phrase "tooth contour A tooth contour" in line 1 should be replaced with --A tooth contour--.

Claim 3 recites the limitations "the tooth bottom" in lines 2 and 3 and "the prior tooth" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitations "the lower end" in line 2, "the side end", "the top edge", and "the butting against surface" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the butting against surface" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5,876,296 to Hsu et al.

Hsu et al. disclose a tooth contour structure with a large sprocket 1 and a small sprocket 2 with a first tooth 11, a second tooth 12, and a third tooth 13. The first tooth has a curved surface 11, a cutting portion 16, a projection surface 19, and a recess 14. The top edge of the projection is formed with a slant angle. The recess extends from a tooth bottom of the first tooth to a tooth bottom of a prior tooth, i.e. the second tooth. The cutting portion is located at a lower end of the curved surface and a side end of a top edge of the projection surface. A recessed tooth valley structure 12 is at the side of the second tooth. The projection surface is located at the tooth valley bottom edge between the first tooth and the second tooth. The tops of the first and second teeth are cut off with a predetermined height to become a short tooth.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/976,002

Art Unit: 3682

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. in view of US Pat. No. 6,022,284 to Bartolozzi et al.

Hsu et al. disclose the subject matter of claim 1, as discussed above, but do not disclose reducing the height of the first and second teeth relative to the other teeth on the sprocket. Bartolozzi et al. disclose that it is known in the art to provide a tooth contour structure with a projection surface 22 having teeth on either side with reduced height. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teeth of Hsu et al. with the reduced height of Bartolozzi et al., as Hsu et al. discloses in column 1, lines 30-34 that reduced height teeth are known in the art as a means to ease shifting between sprockets.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows a variety of tooth contour structures utilizing combinations of recesses, projection surfaces, curved surfaces, and shortened teeth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Stefanon whose telephone number is 703-305-1945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6 - 3:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,002

Art Unit: 3682

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

js

December 23, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600